G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-99

(Prisons & Jails)

**File No.** 82 of 98

Jail Dept. Vol 65-27 (1103)

**Serial Nos.** 1-4

## ~~Papers Filed.~~

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Entertainment of additional warders for the Central Jail

T V R Iyengar

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
|---|---|
| Rtg. 48 of 97 | Rtg. 85 of 98. |

Subd. & 1st Coms. 5

1 g 10 99

OFFICE OF THE 7717 13 1899 DIWAN OF MYSORE

10926

KK 18/3.

From

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

SECTION [illegible]

FILE No. [illegible]

To

SER'AL No. The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore. Genl & Revs Depts

Dated 8th March 1899. Bangalore

Despatched

Received

General No. 673

No.

Enclosure. No.

Recommending the appointment of 12 Warders guard to the Central Jail and to bring under the permanent list the 24 contingent peons of that Jail

1 Refer this in original & Comptr for para 4 & 5 and say whether under the circumstances now presented the proposal of the Resd in para 4, which entails an addl charge of Rupees and, is not to be given effect to pending sanctions — & hope the Comptr can initial section.

2 The Comptr will also furnish the information required in this office No. 10068-69 of 28-7 of 189- 9 1895-

3 With ref to para 2 of the letter 12 addl warders are wanted & the Comptr says these warders should be selected from persons already in the service or are they to be newly employed? Ascertain from & a D.O. to Resd. Meanwhile let this go on and the Comptr for any disbursements he may incur

18.3.99

Jml 13.3.99

1st Draft at once as per para 1 & 2 above & send back the file.

D.O. written & replied. KK 21/3. 22/3.

References put up.

14/3/99 15th

Submitted for orders.

MK 17/3.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—2-3-99.—300.

C No 673

From

The Inspector General of Prisons
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Genl & Revt Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the enclosed letter No 819 dated 31st January 1899 from the Superintendent Central Jail Bangalore explaining the diggiculty and the risk to which the Central Jail is put to for want of competent and sufficient guarding establishment to that Jail.

2. This state of things should not in my opinion be allowed to continue any longer and I would therefore recommend to Government that the request of the Superintendent for employing 12 more guards on Rs. 7/- each a month may be granted to ensure the efficient working and safe keeping of the Jail as the number of Prisoners now exceeds 800.

Further

3

3 Further I have the honor to recommend that the 24 Contingent Peons who have been budgetted for may also be brought under the Permanent establishment as it would be seen from the Superintendent's letter that they are employed only according to the number of Prisoners sent out door and are therefore liable to be sent away at a moments notice and consequently cannot be brought under the provisions of the Prison Act; and if these Posts are made Permanent the services of strong and good young men could be procured without diggeulty.

4. In this connection I beg to draw the attention of Government to Paras 12 and 13 of Mr Biddulphs report on the accounts and records of the Central Jail where he remarks that the expression "Contingent Establishment" should be changed to "Temporary Establishment" that the cost of the establishment should be billed for separately as "Temporary Establishment" and that the grant now included under the head "Contingencies" for this Establishment should be transferred to that of Establishment. To obviate this diggeulty and those explained above I would recommend that these 24 men may

also be brought under the Permanent list.

5. P.S. I hear it is under contemplation to disband one of the Barr Regiments I have the honor to request that the number of extra hands asked for may be supplied from this source as they are already accustomed to discipline.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt.
Fred. W. Simonds
Lieut Col R.A.
Insp Genl of Police

W.S.B.Z

Q. 849

Central Jail Office
31st January 1899.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Encl to 41

From The Superintendent- Central Jail

To. The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to invite your favorable Consideration of the following facts in reference to the warder Guard- of the jail as at present Constituted and to request that you may be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to my proposal for increasing the same on the grounds herein set forth.

Our present sanctioned Establish-ment Consists of:—

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Chief warder. |
| 1 | Deputy Chief warder |
| 1 | Assistant Chief warder. |
| 2 | Head warders 1st Grade. |
| 3 | —do— 2nd —do |
| 4 | —do— 3rd —do. |
| 14 | Warders 1st Grade- |
| 18 | —do— 2nd —do- |
| 18 | —do— 3rd —do. |
| 50 | |

2. In addition to the above provision

is

than the Solitary Lenby. As I am of opinion that it would be risky, if not absolutely dangerous to permit this state of affairs to continue any longer especially as the number now in the jail has so much increased, I would beg that 12 more warders on Rs 7/= each per mensem be sanctioned to enable me to increase the standing guard and to have a few spare men at the Tower in case of emergency. (1)

In this connection I would also mention that I find it extremely difficult, and at times impossible, to obtain men for the Contingent Guard as they are entertained only according to the number of prisoners sent out-door and are therefore liable to be sent away at any moment and consequently on these men we have no hold as they would not, in my opinion, come under the provisions of the Prisons Act when they choose to keep away. I would therefore suggest for your favorable Consideration and for the sanction of Government (2) that these 24 men also, who are now budgeted for, be made permanent when the services

of

is made in the Jail Budget for 24 Contingent warders at Rs7/= each per mensem, who are entertained as requirements occur in regard to the number of prisoners sent out-door.

3. I would here give the posts in the Jail that have to be maintained by the permanent warder Guard:—

Standing Guard at Front Gate 26.
(Of these 13 do.—duty for 24 hours at a Stretch every alternate day)

The duty of these men is to man 4 posts—that is 1 on the Arms, 1 at the Tower, 1 at the ~~Front~~ gate and 1 at the Back Gate

In addition to these posts the following posts have to be permanently maintained both by day and by night—

By day:—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Simple Prison | 1 |
| 2 | Civil Prison | 1 |
| 3 | ~~Female~~ Prison (1 inside, one at gate) (female) | 2 |
| 4 | Juvenile Prison | 1 |
| 5 | Garden | 6 |
| | Total | 11 |

By Night:—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| (1) | Simple | 2 |
| (2) | Civil | 1 |
| (3) | ~~Female~~ Prison | 1 |

Juveniles ——— 1.
Total 5.

or in all for these five posts only which are outside the Jail proper, 16 men are required. This disposes of 42 out of the Sanctioned Strength of 50 warders. The remainings are thus disposed of

Permanent gangs for Jail duties
Inspector Galli's Gang — 1
Superintendent's Gang 1
Front gate Gang — 1 } 4
Back gate — do — 1

Superintendent's Orderly 1.
Chief Jailor's — do — 1
Office orderly — 1 } 4
Store — do — 1

Grand Total 50

The above disposes of the 50 men at present composing our permanent guard. It will therefore be seen that if one of these men is ill or absent from any cause whatever there is a difficulty felt somewhere and some post is left un-manned. It will also be seen that there is not a single man left at the Tower for any emergency, other than

of good men would be ensured.
I beg that you will give this matter
your very early Consideration
as it is very urgent and of pressing
importance.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

L. Minogue
Superintendent
Central Jail

of good men would be ensured.
I beg that you will give this matter
your very early Consideration
as it is very urgent and of pressing
importance.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

Superintendent
Central Jail

Subd

6 10

To,

The Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 23rd March 99.
No 11048 Enc 2
J/369.

Has the honour to forward in original, letter no 673/9 of the 8th instant from the I. Gl of Prisons, for favor of very early opinion as to whether under the circumstances now represented, the proposal of the I. Genl in para 4, which entails no additional charge if carried out, would not be giving effect to Mr Biddulph's suggestions if so, to enable the Commissioner to

in the event of the above being so what steps

~~considered to be a whether he~~
has any ~~undue~~ objection. & the proposal being carried out.

2. The Comptroller is further requested to furnish the information required in this office no ~~Wks 68-69~~ J 1245 of the 28th Feb: 1899.

B. O.

Secy.

[1st Councillors order.]

Subd. Asst.

Principl & a D.O. 1st
written under the 1st
Commrs. order of the
18th March Dr. Benson
has stated that the 12
addl Wardens asked for
are to be newly employed
and not selected from
convicts. KK 29/4.

OFFICE OF THE
8790
14 APR 99
DIWAN OF MYSORE

1899

From The Comptroller, Bangalore 1241

To Govt of Mysore, Bangalore.

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 5th April 1899.

Despatched

Received

General No. 6210

No. Gen II 1991

Enclosure No.

---

Stating that the Resident's remarks are not understood by him.

SECTION P & G

FILE NO. C 44 98

SERIAL NO. 3

---

References to be marked.

R 1
11/4

Dupl Asst.

1) The addl Wardens asked for (12) seem necessary.

2) There seems no reason for the change proposed as regards "Contingent Guards".

1-5-99

11211

(1) Convy sanction

(2) Found ch q Comptr's letter & Govt ord dt
say
suppd that the
work executed might
be continued. Some of
the persons who have been
long employed as Convict
guards might be transfd
to the permanent Establt
as addl Wardens. The q
then arises as to how
addl Wardens are to be
recruited. There is

From 11 Aug 99.

6200
Apr 11 1991

10

Treasury Accounts DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 5th April 1899

13

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

*Comptroller, Mysore Govt.*

To

*The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*

General & Revenue Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 11048 & 1369 of 23rd March '99 enquiring whether the proposal made by the Inspector General of Prisons in para 4. of his letter No 673 of 8th March '99 (forwarded to this office in original) if carried out would not be giving effect to Mr Biddulph's suggestions, and whether this office has any objection to the proposal being carried out, I have the honor to state that Mr Biddulph's remarks are not understood. His remarks were that (1) the expression "Contingent Guards" should be altered to "Temporary Guards", that (2) the cost of these should not be included with contingent charges, and that (3) if the grant for contingencies was intended to cover these charges a transfer should be made increasing

increasing the grant under "Temporary Establish-
-ment" and decreasing that under "contingencies".

The guards in question are called (1)
"Contingent guards" according to the term
used in Section 314 of the Jail Manual,
and (2) the charges on account of these
are not included with contingent charges
as stated, but for facility of audit
they are drawn on abstract contingent
bills as a distinct head of charge as
in the case of other jail charges "Rations
to prisoners", "Hanging Prisoners" etc supplot-
-ed by a separate bill. (3) A separate
provision is made in the Budget for
this establishment, and their cost is
debited to that head as explained in
my letter no $\frac{5891}{1900}$ of 22nd March '99.

The number of contingent guards
depends upon the number of prisoners
employed outside the jail, and if these
are brought on the permanent establish-
-ment they have to be retained at all
times whether there is work for them
or not and further they have all
to be brought under the pension rules.
So far as Mr. Biddulph's Suggestions
in regard to the jail accounts are
concerned there is no necessity for

15

for bringing on the permanent establishment the 24 guards budgetted for year after year to meet the contingency of guarding prisoners while employed outside the jail.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obedient servant

C Lamborn
Comptroller

Zs

(6)

increasing the grant under "Temporary Establish-
-ment" and decreasing that under "contingencies".

The guards in question are called (1)
"Contingent guards" according to the term
used in Section 314 of the Jail Manual,
and (2) the charges on account of these
are not included with contingent charges
as stated, but for facility of audit
they are drawn on abstract contingent
bills as a distinct head of charge as
in the case of other jail charges "Rations
to prisoners", "Hanging Prisoners" etc Support-
-ed by a separate bill. (3) A separate
provision is made in the Budget for
this establishment, and their cost is
debited to that head as explained in
my letter No 5891/1900 of 22nd March '99.

The number of contingent guards
depends upon the number of prisoners
employed outside the jail, and if these
are brought on the permanent establish-
-ment they have to be retained at all
times whether there is work for them
or not and further they have all
to be brought under the pension rules.
So far as Mr. Biddulph's Suggestions
in regard to the jail accounts are
concerned there is no necessity for

Read:—

16

UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE

SECTION Prog

FILE No. Pro 598

SERIAL No. 4

Govt. letter No. 673 dt. 8th March/99 from the Inspector Genl. of Prisons in response to our letter dt. 5/3/99 stating that the present guarding establishment of the Central Jail, Bangalore, is undermanned, and recommending the entertainment of 12 more guards on Rs. 7 each per mensem and also proposing that the 24 Contingent Peons who have been budgeted for may be brought under the permanent Estt:

Govt letter No. 6210/PII/1991 dt. 5/13 April/99 from

Govt approvals in response

Noted Bo 10-5-99

4-5-99

N.P. S.T.

N

Put up - BK 5/5.

DO

the Comptroller to
the Govt - a Memorandum
offering his opinion
in regard the last
of the above proposals
as desired by this Office.

Order: 12836-57 dt 11/5/99.
1597
Under the circumstances represented
by the Comptroller of Currency
the Govt - are
pleased to sanction
the entertainment
of 12 additional
workers on Rs. 7/-
each as recommended.

The date of entertainment should be intimated to the Comptr.
The cost incurred during the
current official year will be
met out of General Savings
of the Govt Central Circle —

2. As regards the
proposal to transfer
the 24 Contingent
Peons to the permanent

Establishment a copy
of the Comptroller's
letter and above be
be communicated
to the Insp. Genl
for reasons therein
stated the existing
arrangement may
be continued, and
the difficulty complained
of in procuring good
men to serve as
Guards temporarily
would to a great extent,
be removed ~~by 12~~
by the ~~Existing~~
~~number~~ of the
12 additional Warders
now sanctioned
and appointed from
the existing number

of Contingent pers
and further increments
are offered to the latter
as vacancies occur
by similar transferring
to them
the more deserving
the permanent establish-

11276 Pers.

Ch. 4-5-99

Ch. 4-5-99

1st Class
"Assistant Engineer" Sick.

To

The D. G. of Posts &
" Comptroller Milepore

READ —

*Letter No. 673—J., dated Bangalore, 8th March 1899, from the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.*

I have the honor to forward the enclosed letter No. 849, dated 31st January 1899, from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Bangalore, explaining the difficulty and the risk to which the Central Jail is put to for want of competent and sufficient guarding establishment to that Jail.

2. This state of things should not in my opinion be allowed to continue any longer and I would therefore recommend to Government that the request of the Superintendent for employing 12 more guards on Rs. 7 each a month may be granted to ensure the efficient working and safetiness of the Jail as the number of prisoners now exceeds 800.

3. Further I have the honor to recommend that the 24 contingent peons who have been budgetted for may also be brought under the permanent establishment as it would be seen from the Superintendent's letter that they are employed only according to the number of prisoners sent out-door and are therefore liable to be sent away at a moment's notice and consequently cannot be brought under the provisions of the Prison Act; and if these posts are made permanent the services of strong and good young men could be procured without difficulty.

4. In this connection I beg to draw the attention of Government to paras 12 and 13 of Mr. Biddulph's report on the accounts and records of the Central Jail where he remarks that the expression "Contingent Establishment" should be changed to "Temporary Establishment," that the cost of the establishment should be billed for separately as "Temporary Establishment" and that the grant now included under the head "Contingencies" for this establishment should be transferred to that of establishment. To obviate this difficulty and those explained above I would recommend that these 24 men may also be brought under the permanent list.

5. As I hear it is under contemplation to disband one of the Barr Regiments, I have the honor to request that the number of extra hands asked for may be supplied from this source as they are already accustomed to discipline.

(Enclosure to the above.)

*Letter No. 849, dated the 31st January 1899, from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Bangalore, to the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.*

I have the honor to invite your favorable consideration of the following facts in reference to the warder guard of the Jail as at present constituted and to request that you may be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to my proposal for increasing the same on the grounds herein set forth.

Our present sanctioned establishment consists of—

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Chief Warder. |
| 1 | Deputy Chief Warder. |
| 1 | Assistant Chief Warder. |
| 2 | Head Warders, 1st Grade. |
| 3 | Ditto 2nd do. |
| 4 | Ditto 3rd do. |
| 14 | Warders, 1st Grade. |
| 18 | Ditto 2nd do |
| 18 | Ditto 3rd do |

2. In addition to the above, provision is made in the Jail budget for 24 contingent warders at Rs. 7 each per mensem, who are entertained as requirements occur in regard to the number of prisoners sent out-door.

3. I would here give the posts in the Jail that have to be maintained by the permanent warder guard.

Standing guard at front gate 26, (of these 13 do duty for 24 hours at a stretch every alternate day).

The duty of these men is to man four posts, that is, 1 on the Arms, 1 at the Tower, 1 at the front gate and 1 at the back gate.

In addition to these posts the following posts have to be permanently maintained both by day and night.

By day—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Simple Prison ... | ... | 1 |
| 2. | Civil Prison ... | ... | 1 |
| 3. | Female Prison . (1 inside, at gate) (females) ... | ... | 2 |
| 4. | Juvenile Prison ... | ... | 1 |
| 5. | Garden ... ... | ... | 6 |
| | | Total ... | 11 |

By night—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Simple ... | ... | 2 |
| 2. | Civil ... ... | ... | 1 |
| 3. | Female Prison ... | ... | 1 |
| 4. | Juveniles ... | ... | 1 |
| | | Total ... | 5 |

or in all for these five posts only, which are outside the Jail proper, 16 men are required. This disposes of 42 out of the sanctioned strength of 50 warders. The remaining eight are thus disposed of—

Permanent gangs for Jail duties—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inspector General's gang ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Superintendent's gang ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Front gate gang ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Back gate gang ... | ... | ... | 1 — 4 |
| Superintendent's orderly .. | ... | ... | 1 |
| Chief Jailor's orderly ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Office orderly ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Store orderly ... | ... | ... | 1 — 4 |
| | Grand Total | ... | 50 |

The above disposes of the 50 men at present composing our permanent guard. It will therefore be seen that if one of these men is ill or absent from any cause whatever, there is a difficulty felt somewhere and some post is left un-manned. It will also be seen that there is not a single man left at the Tower for any emergency other than the solitary sentry. As I am of opinion, that it would be risky, if not absolutely dangerous to permit this state of affairs to continue any longer, especially as the number now in the Jail has so much increased, I would beg that 12 more warders on Rs. 7 each per mensem, be sanctioned to enable me to increase the standing guard and to have a *few spare men at the Tower* in case of emergency.

In this connection I would also mention that I find it extremely difficult, and at times imposible, to obtain men for the contingent guard as they are entertained only according to the number of prisoners sent out-door and are therefore liable to be sent away at any moment and consequently, on these men we have no hold as they would not in my opinion, come under the provisions of the Prisons Act when they choose to keep away. I would therefore suggest for your favorable consideration and for the sanction of Government that these 24 men also, who are now budgetted for, be made permanent when the services of good men would be ensured. I beg that you will give this matter your very early consideration as it is very urgent and of pressing importance.

*Judicial, dated 11th May 1899.*

*3. Letter No. 6210 qr II 1991, dated Bangalore, 5th April 1899, from the Comptroller to the Mysore Government, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.*

With reference to your docket No. 11048—J. 1369, dated 23rd March 1899, enquiring whether the proposal made by the Inspector General of Prisons in para 4 of his letter No. 673—J., dated 8th March 1899 (forwarded to this office in original), if carried out would not be giving effect to Mr. Biddulph's suggestions and whether this office has any objection to the proposal being carried out, I have the honor to state that Mr. Biddulph's remarks are not understood. His remarks were that (1) the expression "contingent guards" should be altered to "temporary guards," that (2) the cost of these should not be included with contingent charges, and that (3) if the grant for contingencies was intended to cover these charges a transfer should be made increasing the grant under "Temporary Establishment" and decreasing that under "Contingencies."

The guards in question are called (1) "Contingent guards" according to the term used in Section 314 of the Jail Manual, and (2) the charges on account of these are not included with contingent charges as stated, but for facility of audit they are drawn on abstract contingent bills as a distinct head of charge as in the case of other Jail charges, "Rations to prisoners," "Hanging prisoners," &c., supported by a separate bill. (3) A separate provision is made in the budget for this establishment, and their cost is debited to that head as explained in my letter No. 5891—1900, dated 22nd March 1899.

The number of contingent guards depends upon the number of prisoners employed outside the Jail, and if these are brought on the permanent establishment they have to be retained at all times whether there is work for them or not, and further they have all to be brought under the Pension Rules. So far as Mr. Biddulph's suggestions in regard to the Jail accounts are concerned there is no necessity for bringing on the permanent establishment the 24 guards budgetted for year after year to meet the contingency of guarding prisoners while employed outside the Jail.

---

No. 12856-57—J. 1597, DATED BANGALORE, 11TH MAY 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—Under the circumstances represented by the Inspector General of Prisons, the Government are pleased to sanction the entertainment of 12 additional warders on Rs. 7 each; the date of entertainment should be intimated to the Comptroller. The cost incurred during the current official year will be met out of General Savings of the Jail budget.

2. As regards the proposal to transfer the 24 "Contingent peons" to the permanent establishment, a copy of the Comptroller's letter read above will be communicated to the Inspector General. For reasons therein stated, the existing arrangements may be continued, and the difficulty complained of in procuring good men to serve as guards temporarily would, to a great extent, be removed, if the 12 additional warders now sanctioned are appointed from the existing number of contingent peons and further inducements are offered to the latter as vacancies occur by similarly transferring the more deserving of them to the permanent establishment.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—C.S.

23

# 1898-99

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 11th May 1899*

No. 12856-57
J. 1597

Sanctioning the entertainment of 12 additional warders for the Central Jail, Bangalore.

SBP—17-5-99—200